Improving the texture and rheological qualities of a plant-based fishball analogue by using konjac glucomannan to enhance crosslinks with soy protein
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ABSTRACT

Global fisheries pressure generates interest in sustainable seafood production and developing plant-based seafood. This study took fishball as an example of seafood products applying konjac glucomannan (KGM) in developing plant-based fishball (PFB) analogues mimicking the texture of fishball. Increasing KGM concentration (up to 8.0%) influenced texture and rheological properties of PFB progressively, where the hardness, chewiness, and gel strength of PFB were significantly enhanced. Decreased pH value (9.38 to 7.93) and increased α-helix, β-sheet, and helix/coil ratio (1.40 to 1.70%) validated a promotion of hydrogen bonds and ordered structures by KGM. The strengthened interaction strength and hydrogen bonds formed at -OH groups of KGM and amide linkage of soy protein could be responsible for textural improvement. A more compact and regular microstructure also validated a firmer texture in PFB with higher KGM levels. Besides, KGM (3.5–8.0%) significantly decreased instantaneous compliance $J_0$ ($101.3 \times 10^{-6}$ to $58.1 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa $^{-1}$), indicating denser crosslinks and firmer structures. In conclusion, KGM improved the texture and viscoelasticity of PFB and had an excellent application value in developing plant-based seafood analogues.

1. Introduction

Seafood has been suggested as a part of a balanced diet due to its high-quality nutrients (FAO & WHO, 2011) and positive health impact (Willett et al., 2019). Global seafood consumption has been significantly elevated because of rapid population growth and the rise of aquaculture over the past 50 years (Marwaha et al., 2020). The rising seafood consumption prompts marine farming of seafood and its production, resulting in overfishing, dwindling of marine biodiversity, environmental destruction, and fish disease (Almeida, Karadzic, & Vaz, 2015). Furthermore, seafood consumption increases the risk of allergy, toxic substances intakes, such as heavy metals (mercury) and ciguatoxins (Mahaffey et al., 2011). These concerns and the shift towards vegetarian dietary habits encourage the development of plant-based seafood substitutes, imitating the texture and sensorial characteristics of seafood or processed fish products (Kazir & Livney, 2021). Plant-based seafood is seeing elevating investment, which could help to reduce pressure on pressure on global fisheries. Upward of 20 well-established food manufactures, including Gardein, Good Catch Foods, New Wave Foods, Amazon, and Tesco (Kazir & Livney, 2021), are working on alternative seafood imitating shrimp, tuna, fish burgers.

The texture of seafood products is characterized by elasticity and breakdown sensation during mastication. Mimicking the texture is critical for the overall quality and acceptability of seafood substitutes by consumers. However, most companies aim to imitate the appearance, smell, and flavoring of seafood rather than mimic the structure and texture attributes. Extrusion cooking is a widely used technology in meat analog production (McHugh & Avena-Bustillos, 2019; Yuliarti, Kovis, & Yi, 2021), whereas it increases the processing cost and makes final products more expensive. Another alternative technique to mimicking seafood texture is binding plant proteins with polysaccharides. For instance, alginate can form strong polysaccharide gels entrapping proteins. Microbial transglutaminase makes proteins crosslinked to create robust gel networks (Moreno, Carballo, & Borderías, 2008).

Konjac glucomannan (KGM) is a water-soluble polysaccharide extracted from konjac (Amorphophallus konjac) tubers. It has been increasingly used as a food additive or food supplement, considering its health benefits, such as preventing diabetes, obesity, and hyperglycemia.
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KGM is a random linear copolymer consisting of β-1, 4 linked α-glucose and D-mannose in a molar ratio of 1: 1.6 (Jiang et al., 2019), with a side chain containing acetyl groups (5–10%). The acetyl groups in native KGM molecules could prevent them from associating with each other. Under alkaline conditions, deacetylation replaces the acetyl groups with hydroxyl groups and generates deacetylated KGM (Yang et al., 2017). The deacetylated KGM can form self-aggregation and polymer chain association through hydrogen bonding. The deacetylation and concentration are critical for KGM to create a thermal irreversible elastic gel (Solo-de-Zaldivar, Tovar, Borderías, & Herranz, 2014).

Furthermore, KGM has been authorized as GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) (Jimenez-Colmenero, Cofrades, Herrero, Solas, & Ruiz-Capillas, 2013) and used as a food additive in European countries. For instance, KGM was employed as a fat-replacer to produce low-fat mayonnaise, skimmed yogurt, and cheese (da Silva, de Souza Ferreira, Bruschi, Britten, & Matumoto-Pintro, 2016; Xu et al., 2020), suggesting that KGM improved the texture, rheological properties, and storage stability of these products. KGM was also used to prepare low-fat frankfurters, restructured gluten sea bream, restructured pork nuggets, and low-fat sausages (Jiménez-Colmenero et al., 2012). Their water holding capacity, chewiness, cohesiveness, and gel strength were significantly enhanced by the addition of KGM.

To the best of our knowledge, little research is accessible concerning the application of KGM in developing plant-based seafood analogues and its effects on the texture and rheology of seafood substitutes. In addition, fishball (FB) is a processed fish product prepared from minced fish meat or fish surimi, with or without starch, flavor enhancer, and food conditioner (Tee & Siow, 2014). FB is gaining great popularity in countries like Australia, Japan, the U.S., China, and Southeast Asian countries (Tee & Siow, 2014). Therefore, this study took FB as an example of processed seafood products and applied KGM in developing plant-based fishball (PFB) substitutes. Our preliminary experiments showed that adding KGM (5.0%) to SPI could generate a PFB with a gel-like and chewy texture. The objective is to mimic the unique texture of FB counterpart and investigate the effects of KGM on the physicochemical, textural, and rheological characteristics of PFB; to demonstrate the application value of KGM in plant-based seafood analogues mimicking texture of seafood products.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Soy protein isolate (SPI) was purchased from Myprotein (Cheshire, UK), containing 90.0% protein, 1.5% fat, and 1.8% carbohydrate. KGM powder was purchased from iHerb (Moreno Valley, CA, USA). Dietary alkali (sodium carbonate), sea salt, tapioca starch, sucrose, and sunflower oil were purchased from Thong Siek Food Industry Pte Ltd. (Singapore). Frozen fish surimi (Big eye, Itoyori, and Leather jacket) was provided by Thong Siek Food Industry Pte Ltd. (Singapore).

2.2. Sample preparation

Based on preliminary experiments, the concentration of SPI was fixed at 7.0% (w/w). The SPI was hydrated with 30% deionized water for 30 min. Sea salt (1.5%, w/w), sunflower oil (3.5%, v/w), sucrose (0.5%, w/w), and dietary alkali (0.5%, w/w) were then added. Moreover, ice (49.5–53.5%, w/w) was used to make up the weight difference and prevent heat generation during stirring. The mixture was blended for 5 min using a food processor (Panasonic, Osaka, Japan). Afterward, different levels of KGM (up to 8.0%, w/w) were slowly added into the food processor while blending. The raw PFB mixtures with different KGM concentrations were obtained (the pH for PFB3.5, PFB5.0, PFB6.5, and PFB8.0 paste were 10.01 ± 0.54, 9.97 ± 0.81, 9.98 ± 0.41, and 9.91 ± 0.70, respectively). Part of the mixture (around 20 g) was taken out immediately for rheological measurements. The remaining part was manually made into PFB (30.0 ± 1.0 g), which were put in aluminum foil bowls (15.24 cm in diameter, three fishballs per bowl), and then steamed in water bath at 90 °C for 30 min. The deacetylation degree of mixtures containing KGM after cooking was measured based on the description of Hu et al. (2019). The deacetylation degree for mixtures with 3.5%, 5.0%, 6.5%, and 8.0% were 35.6%, 47.8%, 61.1%, and 73.6%, respectively. The remained cooked samples were stored overnight in a fridge at 4 °C before measurements.

For FB preparation, frozen fish surimi was thawed overnight at 4 °C before use. FB was prepared as follows: Frozen surimi (88.5%, w/w), tapioca starch (5.0%, w/w), sea salt (1.5%, w/w), and deionized water (5.0%, v/w) were blended for 5 min. The following procedures were carried out in the same way with PFB samples. FB fishball made from Big eye surimi), IFB (fishball made from Itoyori surimi), and LF (fishball made from Leather jacket surimi) were prepared to conduct texture profile analysis (TPA) using a texture analyzer.

2.3. Proximate composition and physical properties

The proximate composition, including moisture, protein, total fat, and total ash, was evaluated using the AOAC (2005) standard methods. Expressible moisture was determined as described in a previous study (Shin, Lee, Lee, Jo, & Choe, 2020):

\[
\text{Expressible moisture} = \left( \frac{\text{weight before compression} - \text{weight after compression}}{\text{weight before compression}} \right) \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

The cooking yield of samples was determined based on the weight before and after cooking (Shin, Lee, Lee, Jo, & Choe, 2020):

\[
\text{Cooking yield} (%) = \frac{\text{Sample weight after cooking (g)}}{\text{Sample weight before cooking (g)}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

Moreover, the pH values of FB and PFB were also determined using a pH meter (FiveEasy Plus pH meter FP20, Mettler Toledo, USA).

2.4. Texture profile analysis

Samples were cut from the center of the fishball into cubes of 15 mm (Feng et al., 2020). The texture profile analysis was conducted using a texture analyzer (TA.XT2i Texture Analyzer, Stable Micro Systems Co. Ltd., Godalming, UK). The test speed was 1 mm/s, and the compression distance was 6 mm (40% of the sample height). Textural parameters, including hardness, cohesiveness, springiness, chewiness, and resilience, were determined.

2.5. Rheological measurements

Food texture attributes are generally correlated to the rheological
properties of food materials (Do Trong et al., 2014). The rheological behavior of FB and PFB was performed on a rotational stress-controlled rheometer equipped with Anton Paar MCR 102 (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria). A parallel stainless-steel plate with a diameter of 25 mm was applied, with a gap between the sample holder and plate fixed at 1.0 mm. A strain sweep was firstly conducted at 25 °C, with an angular frequency of 62.83 rad/s, an initial strain of 0.01%, a final strain of 100%, and a point number of 25, to determine the linear viscoelastic range (LVER) and gel characteristics in this range. The LVER could help to pre-set a consistent strain and stress value for further measurements. Afterward, frequency sweep and creep-recovery tests were performed to characterize viscoelastic properties.

At the gel state, a frequency sweep (100–0.1 rad/s) was performed at 25 °C with a fixed strain amplitude γ of 0.5%, ensuring the test was conducted within the LVER (Yang, Gao, & Yang, 2020). Silicone oil was used to seal the sample to prevent evaporation. A mechanical spectrum was obtained after the measurement, and the storage modulus $G'$, loss modulus $G''$, and complex viscosity ($\eta^*$) were obtained. A power-law function was used to fit the data as follows (Iglesias-Otero, Borderias, & Tovar, 2010; Xiong et al., 2019):

$$G' = G'_0 \cdot \omega^n$$

(3)

$$G'' = G''_0 \cdot \omega^n$$

(4)

$$\eta^* = K_s \cdot \omega^n$$

(5)

$G'_0$ and $G''_0$ indicate the elastic moduli and viscous moduli at a frequency of 1 rad/s respectively; $n$, $n^*$ indicate the changing rate of $G'$ and $G''$ with $\omega$. Moreover, $K_s$ is a consistency index that shows the interaction strength, and $n$ is a dynamic power-law factor that shows the extent of network extension.

A creep-recovery test was performed at the gel state to further understand the textural characteristics of FB and PFB using the same rheometer. At the creep phase, constant shear stress of 150 Pa was used, and the stress was then removed, after which the variation in strain was recorded from 373.5 to 747 s (recovery stage). A Burgers model was applied to fit compliance $J(t)$ data (Zhuang, Wang, Herranz, Tovar, 2012). The goodness-of-fit was expressed as $R^2$, RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error), SSE (Sum of Squares Error), and SSR (Sum of Squares due to Regression), which were determined as follows:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{N}}$$

(9)

$$\text{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

(10)

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\bar{y} - \bar{y})^2$$

(11)

where parameter $i$ is a variable, $N$ is the total number of values, $y_i$ represents observed value, $\bar{y}$ is the estimated value, $\bar{y}$ represents mean value.

2.6. Microstructure

The microstructure of PFB and FB was analyzed using a Quanta-250 scanning electron microscope SEM (FEI Co., Hillsboro, USA). Freeze-dried PFB and FB were sprayed onto aluminum plates and coated with a thin layer of gold. The samples were then visualized under the microscope with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and SPS.

2.7. Secondary structure determination

The secondary structures of FB and PFB were determined through a Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy FTIR (PerkinElmer, MA, USA), with a scan range from 4000 to 450 cm$^{-1}$, a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$, and a scan number of 32 (Yang, Yang, & Yang, 2018). Samples were firstly lyophilized and ground with KBr in a ratio of 1: 50. Full-band scanning was conducted with KBr as a blank before each test. The spectra were processed via OMNIC 8.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and Peak Fit v4.12 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Baseline correction and Fourier self-deconvolution were conducted, followed by second-order derivation and Gaussian curve fitting. Each protein secondary structure content was determined by the peak area of each protein structure component divided by the amide region’s overall peak area (1600–1700 cm$^{-1}$) after the analysis.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Experimental results were processed through SPSS software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and presented as mean values ± the standard deviation. The statistical difference within and among sample groups was evaluated through a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). At least three repetitions were conducted for each test. Significant statistical differences presented when $P$-value < 0.05.

3. Results and discussions

The overall appearance and cutting profiles of FB and PFB are shown in Fig. S1, which showed that PFB with less than 3.5% of KGM could not form a solid-like gel and fishball shape (Fig. S1D - F). Therefore, more than 3.5% of KGM was added to prepare PFB. The texture, rheological, and physicochemical characteristics of PFB were studied. Generally, the overall appearance of PFB3.5, PFB5.0, PFB6.5, and PFB8.0 was like FB. However, from the cutting profiles, the inside texture of FB was compact with uniformly distributed and small pores, while PFB3.5 had a soft and loose texture with the most prominent pores. With the increasing KGM level, PFB had fewer and smaller pores, which made the inside texture of PFB more compact and denser. These pores in PFB could be formed during the blending process when the air was caught into the water.

KGM has a great water-binding capacity, which could decrease bubble formation in PFB with higher KGM concentrations. The inside texture of PFB8.0 was highly tight, possessing the smallest pores, while PFB6.5 had a similar inside texture to IFB.
3.1. Proximate compositions and physical properties

The moisture content of FB ranged from 71.78 to 73.86%, whereas that of PFB ranged from 78.64 to 80.76%. The protein content of FB prepared in our study was 11.13 to 14.01%, while PFB had a much lower protein content (5.89 to 6.09%) compared with IFB. Nonetheless, a previous study has reported that the protein content of commercial fishball was from 7.54 to 9.89% (Huda, Shen, Huey, & Dewi, 2010), which had closer protein content to PFB prepared in this study.

The pH values of PFBs decreased from 9.38 to 7.93, with increased KGM from 3.5 to 8.0% (Table S1). The deacetylation of KGM under alkali conditions involves replacing acetyl groups with hydroxyl groups (Yang et al., 2017). Before cooking, the pH of the PFB mixtures with different KGM concentrations was around 10.0 without a significant difference. During heating (under alkaline conditions), PFB mixtures containing higher KGM concentration showed a higher deacetylation degree (increased from 35.6 to 73.6%), leading to a more decrease of alkalinity and free hydroxyl ions [OH\(^-\)]. Therefore, the pH decreased more after cooking in PFB with a higher KGM addition.

Expressible moisture and cooking yield could reflect water-holding capacity. The lower expressible moisture and cooking loss reveals the higher water-holding capacity. As indicated in Table S1, no significant difference in expressible moisture and cooking yield was found among FBs. For PFB, as KGM addition increased from 3.5 to 8.0%, the expressible moisture decreased significantly from 7.24 to 2.57%. In comparison, the cooking yield increased from 96.96 to 99.34%, indicating that KGM improved the water-holding capacity and cooking quality of PFB. This was because KGM has a great water-binding capacity and can absorb a large volume of moisture (Zhuang et al., 2021). More free water was changed to bound water, which could be trapped in the three-dimensional networks. Consequently, the water-holding capacity was improved, and cooking loss was reduced. PFB6.5 showed an insignificant difference in expressible moisture with IFB (3.20% for PFB6.5, and 3.97% for IFB, respectively), indicating that PFB6.5 had a close water-holding capacity to IFB.

3.2. Texture profile analysis

Textural parameters were determined, including hardness, gumminess, cohesiveness, springiness, resilience, and chewiness. As shown in Fig. 1A, the hardness of BFB, IFB, and LFB were 3467.17, 1852.56, and 2331.37 g, respectively. The result was consistent with the hardness of commercial fishball (1710–3010 g) (Huda et al., 2010). IFB had the lowest hardness compared with other FBs. For PFB, as KGM addition increased from 3.5 to 8.0%, the hardness increased significantly from 880.22 to 3629.61 g (Fig. 1A). The increase of hardness might be resulting from removing acetyl groups through deacetylation could make KGM transform from semi-crimping to self-crimping, leading to self-aggregation and the formation of three-dimensional networks that can trap water to form a rigid and compact structure (Wang et al., 2017). PFB6.5 had no significant difference in hardness (1957.31 g) with IFB (1852.56 g), whereas PFB8.0 had a significantly higher hardness (3629.61 g) compared with IFB and other PFBs.

There was no significant difference in cohesiveness and springiness between FB and PFB, except for PFB3.5 (Fig. S2A). PFB6.5 showed no significant difference in gumminess, resilience with IFB. However, the chewiness of PFB increased significantly with increasing KGM. The chewiness is the energy necessary to chew a solid food to a status that is ready to swallow (Liu, Xu, & Guo, 2008), which is an essential indicator of mouthfeel (Varela, Mosca, Nguyen, McEwan, & Berget, 2021). The chewiness of PFB was incredibly enhanced by KGM from 561.93 to 2787.54 g·mm (Fig. 1B), demonstrating that structural strength was enhanced in PFB6.5 and PFB8.0. The increased chewiness by KGM might be explained by the long-chain polysaccharide effect that could connect the entire protein network and strengthen the composite gel structure. Previous studies have suggested that KGM could significantly enhance the compression force and texture attributes of restructured meat (Iglesias-Otero et al., 2010; Xiong et al., 2009). There was no significant difference in chewiness between IFB and PFB6.5. However, PFB8.0 had a significantly higher chewiness than other PFBs and IFB, making PFB8.0 harder to swallow.

The texture is a critical and direct factor that affects consumer acceptance. IFB exhibited a lower hardness, gumminess, and chewiness, which was more acceptable of fishball. Therefore, IFB was selected as a control group for the subsequent rheological and microstructural...
analysis. Overall, the TPA results showed that KGM addition was suggested to be 6.5% (PFB6.5), considering the hardness, gumminess, and chewiness. More than 6.5% KGM addition (PFB8.0) could lead to a rigid and chewy texture. Although texture profile analysis can provide helpful sensory perception and quality control information, this empirical measurement depends on test conditions and cannot provide true material parameters. Meantime, small deformation oscillatory tests provide critical information correlated to the network structure of food gels, presenting complex viscoelastic performance (Herranz et al., 2012). Therefore, a thorough rheological measurement is essential to determine the true mechanical and structural parameters.

3.3. Rheological properties

3.3.1. Determination of linear viscoelastic region

A strain sweep was firstly conducted to determine the LVER, where storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') are not dependent on shear strain, which could guarantee a sample's inner structure integrity (Liu et al., 2018; Moreno et al., 2020). As shown in Fig. S2B, the LVER became broader with increasing KGM, indicating a better network coupling, demonstrating that KGM improved the gel quality of PFB.

3.3.2. Determination of viscoelastic properties

In a frequency sweep test, mechanical spectra can be expressed as a function between G', G'', and the angular frequency (Iglesias-Otero et al., 2010). The plotting of G' and G'' (Fig.S2C) was properly fitted with power-law function (Eqs. (3) and (4)), with R² > 0.90, RMSE < 0.04, SSE < 0.04 and R² > 0.94, RMSE < 0.06 SSE < 0.10, respectively (Table 1). G0 and G''0 of PFBs (corresponding to the elastic and viscous resistance to gel deformation at 1 rad/s) were significantly increased with increasing KGM, indicating a larger gel firmness and rigidity. The increase of G''0 in PFB at higher content of KGM demonstrated that more compact structures and networks were formed, and thus more energy was stored during shearing. There was no significant difference in G0 and G''0 between IFB (589 kPa in G0, 85.4 kPa in G''0) and PFB6.5 (579 kPa in G0, 88.9 kPa in G''0).

Since the decreasing rate of G''0 value with decreased frequency was higher than that of G' (n'' > n') for PFB3.5 sample, loss factor tanδ (G''/G') decreased with decreasing frequency (Fig.S2D), indicating a “shear-induced gelation” phenomenon in PFB3.5 (Piñeiro-Lago, Franco, & Tovar, 2020), a natural behavior existing in vegetal gels from legume proteins (Moreno et al., 2020). Besides, tanδ of PFB3.5 changed more rapidly with frequency than PFB with higher KGM concentration, reflecting that low KGM addition less stable gel networks and thus less gel-like characteristics irrespective of frequency (Piñeiro-Lago et al., 2020). In addition, the tan δ of PFB decreased when KGM increased from 5.0 to 8.0%, indicating an improvement of the viscoelasticity in PFB at higher KGM addition.

The power exponents n' and n'' are critical parameters to determine the time-stability of gel network reflecting frequency dependence of G' and G'' (Borderias et al., 2020). Compared with IFB, the overall n' (except for PFB8.0) and n'' of PFB were significantly higher, indicating the G' and G'' of PFB showed higher frequency-dependence and thus less time-stability. Furthermore, compared with IFB (n' = 9.69 × 10⁻² and G''0.5 (n'' = 11.15 × 10⁻⁴), the n' decreased significantly in PFB8.0 (n' = 5.02 × 10⁻²). At the same time, n'' exponents increased from 11.17 × 10⁻² to 17.6 × 10⁻² in PFB samples with KGM levels increased from 5.0 to 8.0%, reflecting the rate of decrease of G' with decreasing angular frequency is higher than that of G''. The phenomenon could be attributed to the existence of shear-induced gelation in PFB (Piñeiro-Lago et al., 2020).

The changes in complex viscosity η* throughout the frequency sweep region are shown in Fig. S2E. The complex viscosity η* measures overall resistance to flow, represented as the ratio of complex modulus G* to angular frequency Ω (Tunick, 2011). The results showed that the η* increased significantly with increasing KGM from 3.5 to 8.0% in PFB, reflecting that the overall resistance to flow (as a function of Ω) increased in PFB with the increased KGM levels. PFB8.0 had the highest complex viscosity η* compared with IFB and other PFBs throughout the entire frequency range. Furthermore, the data were properly fitted with Eq. (5), with R² > 0.90, RMSE < 0.08, and SSE < 0.02 (Table 1). The power-law factor n (0 < n < 1) denotes the degree of viscous and elastic behavior. The system exhibits an absolute elasticity when the n value equals 1, while the system exhibits an absolute viscosity when n equals 0 (Sow, Nicole Chong, Liao, & Yang, 2018). As shown in Table 1, the nF of PFB increased from 0.77 to 0.89 with increasing KGM, indicating that a higher addition of KGM (6.5% and 8.0%) improved the elastic behavior of PFB. PFB6.5 showed no significant difference in nF with IFB, demonstrating that 6.5% of KGM lead PFB to a similar rheological and structural behavior as IFB. Besides, as shown in Table 1, the interaction strength (K0) in the PFBs was also enhanced by KGM significantly (153 to 721 kPa s⁻¹). The reason could be that PFB with higher KGM addition exhibited more numerous and larger junctions, leading to stronger interactions and denser crosslinks within the gel networks. Moreover, KGM could form an entangled network when combined with protein and thus enhance the interaction and extension strength (Iglesias-Otero et al., 2010). However, with too much KGM addition (8.0%) in PFB, a highly compact and dense crosslink could be formed, leading to a too hard and chewy texture compared with IFB and other PFBs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Fitting parameters of Eqs. (3), (4), and (5) from the power-law model for fishball and plant-based fishball analogue.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFB</td>
<td>PFB3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0 (kPa S⁻¹)</td>
<td>589 ± 31ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n' (× 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>9.69 ± 0.26ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE (× 10⁻²)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0 (kPa S⁻¹)</td>
<td>85.4 ± 7.2ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n' (× 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>8.89 ± 0.13ᵈ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE (× 10⁻²)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0 (kPa s⁻¹)</td>
<td>256 ± 16ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (× 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>88.1 ± 2.7ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE (× 10⁻²)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different lowercase letters for same parameter mean significant difference (n = 3, P < 0.05).

*IFB represented fishball made from Ilyuyt surimi. PFB3.5, PFB5.0, PFB6.5, and PFB8.0 represented PFB with a KGM concentration of 3.5%, 5.0%, 6.5%, and 8.0%.

RMSE indicates root mean square error; SSE indicates sum of squares error.
3.3.3. Determination of creep compliance

The creep compliance \( J(t) \) of samples was measured via a creep recovery test that involves a light deformation during rheological measurements and could determine further textural characteristics. The measurement of creep compliance could be used to compare and determine the structural characteristics of different materials on a larger timescale (Herranz et al., 2013). Generally, higher creep compliance indicates more significant loss of crosslinks during loading so that originally short molecular fragments having weaker crosslinks might rupture (Herranz et al., 2013). Chattong, Apichartsrangkoon, Chaikham, Supavitipatana, and Bell (2015) showed that a gel with higher elasticity and firmness exhibits a lower value of creep compliance. As shown in Fig. 1C, the compliance increased dramatically at the creep phase and then decreased slowly at the recovery phase for all samples. Overall, the \( J(t) \) of PFB decreased with increasing KGM concentration, which showed that KGM improved the strength of crosslinks within the gels. The creep curves were fitted with a Burgers model (Eq. (6)), showing a good fitting with \( R^2 > 0.98 \), RMSE \(< 1.87 \times 10^{-6} \), and SSR \(< 9.04 \times 10^{-8} \). Therefore, the Burgers model could describe the viscoelastic behaviors of IFB and PFB.

Previous studies have demonstrated that fitting parameters \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) negatively correlate with gel firmness (Chattong, Apichartsrangkoon, & Bell, 2007). Table 2 shows that \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) decreased significantly with increasing KGM, demonstrating a firmer and compact network of PFB at a higher concentration of KGM. The degradation of KGM results in the formation of stiffened aggregation (Huang, Takahashi, Kobayashi, Kawase, & Nishinari, 2002). KGM with a higher concentration had a higher degradation degree (increased from 35.6 to 73.6%), leading to more stiffened molecular aggregations and thus firmer structure in PFB. Moreover, more hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interaction, and denser crosslinks could be formed within the PFB gel network at a higher KGM addition, strengthening the deformation resistance. There was no significant difference in \( J_0 \) between PFB6.5 (77.3 \( \times 10^{-6} \) Pa \(^{-1} \)) and IFB (74.8 \( \times 10^{-6} \) Pa \(^{-1} \)), which was consistent with TPA results that PFB had similar hardness with IFB (Table 2). However, PFB8.0 had a significantly lower \( J_0 \) (58.1 \( \times 10^{-6} \) Pa \(^{-1} \)) than IFB, which could be attributed to a highly compact and dense structure formed in the PFB8.0 gel network. These results were consistent with the TPA results that showed the hardness and chewiness of PFB6.5 were closer to IFB, while PFB8.0 had a too hard and chewy texture compared with IFB.

Meantime, \( \eta_0 \) means the resistance required to make a viscoelastic material flow. A higher \( \eta_0 \) indicates a more considerable flow resistance and thus more solid-like attributes (Chattong et al., 2007). As shown in Table 2, \( \eta_0 \) increased in PFB with a higher level of KGM, indicating PFB with a higher KGM addition became more solid-like, while PFB3.5 showed less solid-like behavior than IFB and other PFB samples. Besides, retardation time \( \lambda \) denotes the time necessary for the postponed strain to 63.2% of the last value (Steffe, 1996). Samples with a longer retardation time achieve full deformation slowly. The \( \lambda \) of PFB showed a decreasing trend with increasing KGM, where PFB6.5 and PFB8.0 had lower \( \lambda \) value with 12.56 s and 12.1 s, respectively. In addition, the percent recovery R\% (elasticity) of PFBs increased significantly from 24.7 to 70.9% when KGM increased from 3.5 to 8.0% (Table 2). PFB3.5 had the lowest elasticity (24.7%) compared with IFB and other PFBs. The reason could be that the concentration of KGM could affect the deacetylation rate and thus influence gelation speed in KGM/SPI system. Since PFB3.5 (with 3.5% of KGM) had lower viscosity, the KGM in PFB3.5 could be easier accessible to proceed with deacetylation (rapid gelation speed). Huang et al. (2002) indicated that rapid gelation would result in sparse and inhomogeneous gels, while slow gelation would produce more homogeneous gels. Slow gelation also allows molecular chains to be incorporated as elastically active chains. Zhuang et al. (2021) suggested that a strengthened structure could also result in a higher R\%. Therefore, incorporating KGM into PFB increased the elasticity of PFBs significantly. The elasticity of PFB6.5 (65.9%) did not differ significantly from IFB (62.6%).

3.4. Microstructure

The microstructures of IFB and PFB were visualized via SEM, and the images are shown in Fig. 2. The microstructures indicated that all samples had a network structure, which allowed the gels to exhibit a particular elastic characteristic. During heating, the protein chains unfolded and then aggregated, which led to a three-dimensional network. IFB possessed small and regularly distributed pores (indicated by yellow arrows) within the gel network (Fig. 2A), contributing to a compact and regular gel structure. For PFB samples, the network structures varied with the different concentrations of KGM. PFB3.5 possessed large and irregular pores, which led to a loose and soft structure. With increasing KGM addition, the pores decreased in size and were distributed more regularly, making the PFB gels more compact and intensive. This phenomenon might be because KGM could interact with SPI mainly through hydrogen bonds and thereby fill the pores of the SPI network, making the structure tighter and more compact. The decrease in pore size could also be explained by the long-chain polysaccharide effect that affected expanded protein aggregation and bonding, connecting the entire protein and thus strengthening the composite gel structures (Zhang, Xue, Li, Wang, & Xue, 2015). Zhang et al. (2015) indicated that KGM affected the pore size and roughness in the morphology of KGM- Alaska Pollock composite gels, making the gel compact and smooth. PFB6.5 had a compact network with tiny pores and a stable surface, which showed a generally similar microstructure to IFB. However, PFB8.0 had a highly compact network compared with IFB and other PFBs, which agreed with the results of the rheological analysis mentioned before. Moreover, the tan δ (\( G’/G'' \)) of PFB8.0 was 0.10, which was lower than that of IFB (0.14) and other PFBs (0.15–0.24), also reflecting a highly compact network in PFB8.0 compared with IFB.

3.5. Schematic model

Based on the abovementioned discussions, we proposed a possible schematic model for PFB and FB texture formation representing interaction-structure-properties (Fig. 3). SPI chain is considered as a polymer of peptides containing various amino acids. KGM is a polysaccharide made up of D-glucose and D-mannose linked via \( \beta \)-1,4 linkages, with a side chain containing acetyl groups (Fig. 3). Hydroxy groups can replace these acetyl groups through deacetylation under an alkaline condition, which was necessary to form a stable KGM gel.
matrix. Wang, Yao, Jian, Sun, and Pang (2010) suggested that the SPI/KGM complex gel interactions were located at -OH groups of KGM mannose and glucose and the amide groups of SPI.

Moreover, the hydrogen bonds between KGM and SPI were primarily formed via a water bridge. Water was firstly distributed in SPI structures in the form of bound moisture and free moisture. Upon increasing KGM addition, more water within the gels was transformed to bound water, which was attributed to the excellent water-binding capacity of KGM.

Upon mixing SPI with KGM, the texture of PFB varied with KGM addition, which was resulted from different interactions between SPI and KGM. At a lower KGM addition (< 6.5%), weak hydrogen bonds and interactions result in a loose gel network. The loose gel network led to a final soft texture in PFB3.5 and PFB5.0, with a low hardness and gel strength. With the KGM addition increased to 6.5% (deacetylation degree 61.1%), more acetyl groups were replaced with -OH groups. More KGM junction zones formed, entrapping SPI chains and contributing to a more compact gel network and denser crosslinks. The flow resistance $n_f$ and interaction strength $K_f$ of PFB increased with increasing KGM, contributing to a more elastic property and stronger gel networks. Overall, the hardness and gel strength of PFB were progressively improved by KGM addition. PFB6.5 exhibited a matched texture with fishball (a compact gel network primarily formed through myosin denaturation and aggregation) (Fig. 3). However, with too much KGM addition (8.0%), PFB had a highly tough and chewy texture (hardness 3429.61 g and chewiness 2787.54 g‧mm). The reason could be that the deacetylation degree increased significantly from 35.6 to 73.6% when the KGM level increased from 3.5 to 8.0%, forming more significant junctions and highly packed crosslinks in the SPI/KGM system.

Fig. 2. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images: (A), IFB (fishball made from Itaya surimi); (B), PFB3.5 (plant-based fishball analogue with 3.5% of KGM); (C), PFB5.0 (plant-based fishball analogue with 5.0% of KGM); (D), PFB6.5 (plant-based fishball analogue with 6.5% of KGM); and (E), PFB8.0 (plant-based fishball analogue with 8.0% of KGM).
3.6. Validation of the schematic model

3.6.1. Validation by secondary structures

The conformational variation of PFB gel was investigated using FTIR to validate the schematic model. The deconvolution of amide I (1600–1700 cm\(^{-1}\)) could provide critical information about secondary structures presented as \(\alpha\)-helix, \(\beta\)-sheet, \(\beta\)-turn, and random coil \cite{Martinez2017}. As shown in Table 3, compared with PFB0 (no KGM), PFB samples with KGM exhibited a higher percentage of \(\alpha\)-helix and \(\beta\)-sheet but a lower percentage of \(\beta\)-turns and random coils. Moreover, the \(\beta\)-sheet was the main secondary component in PFB samples. This was because, at higher KGM addition, more hydroxyl groups were exposed, leading KGM to provide highly entangled networks surrounding SPI, which slowed down heat exchange during heating and made
As KGM addition increased in PFB, the contents of α-helix and β-sheet increased; however, the content of β-turns and random coils decreased. The α-helix structures are relevant to protein folding; thus, an increase in the α-helix content in PFB with a higher concentration of KGM might result from more interactions between SPI and KGM and the generation of a more compact gel structure. Furthermore, the transformation of β-turns to β-sheets demonstrated that more hydrogen bonds were formed in PFB at higher KGM levels, as β-sheet structures require more hydrogen bonds to bond with multiple hydrogens (Wang et al., 2017). Higher content of sheet structure results in a more organized gel formation of higher network connectivity and stable crosslinks within the gel networks. Meantime, they suggested that α-helix and β-sheet could improve secondary structure’s stability and affect elasticity and firmness.

Additionally, the helix-to-coil ratio represents the triple helix content, and a low ratio of the helix to coil generally means a low content of triple helices (Sow, Kong, & Yang, 2018). As shown in Table 3, KGM enhanced the helix-to-coil ratio from 1.40 to 1.70, and the helix-to-coil of PFB6.5 (1.58) showed no significant difference with IFB (1.57). It was suggested that a low helix-to-coil ratio was relevant to the low hardness, chewiness, and gel strength of fish gelatin. The phenomenon could be because triple helices are regarded as junction zones in the formation of continuous and three-dimensional gel networks (Sow, Nicole Chong, et al., 2018). A higher concentration of KGM exposed more -OH groups, enhancing the physical entanglement effect and decreasing heat exchange, which could reduce the destruction of the intermolecular hydrogen bonds within PFB gel structures. These results further supported that a high level of KGM would diminish the denaturation of SPI and improve the gel functionality of PFB.

### 3.6.2. Validation by gel strength and relaxation exponent

Furthermore, fitting parameters S (representing gel strength) and n (relaxation exponent) were determined from Eq. (8). The parameters S and n in a transient test indicate crosslink properties of the gel networks and temporal dependence of the crosslinks, respectively. Generally, a higher S value indicates the formation of more significant junctions in KGM gel networks; at the same time, a lower exponent (n) indicates a higher degree of network connectivity and more time-stability of the gels (Moreno et al., 2020).

The specific values of fitting parameters (S and n) are summarized in Table S3. While increasing KGM addition in PFB from 3.5 to 8.0%, the gel strength (S) significantly improved from 3.04 to 17.50 kPa (Fig. 4 and Table S3). There was no significant difference in gel strength between PFB6.5 (12.61 kPa) and IFB (13.09 kPa). The enhanced gel strength for PFB could be explained by the increased deacetylation degree (35.6–73.6%) of increasing KGM (3.5–8.0%). With a higher deacetylation degree, more acetyl groups were replaced with hydroxyl groups, resulting in the formation of more junction zones (serving to entrap SPI chains) and thus forming packed structures (Solo-de-Zaldívar et al., 2014). Moreover, small junction zones tend to be formed in PFB having a low deacetylation degree (such as PFB3.5). These small junction zones could reduce inter-aggregate forces (Solo-de-Zaldívar et al., 2014), leading to a weak gel network. Wang et al. (2017) also reported that 5% KGM in wheat gluten could strengthen the gel structure by enhancing hydrophobic interactions between KGM and wheat gluten.

Meantime, the relaxation exponent n decreased progressively (from 0.297 to 0.091) in PFB with increasing KGM concentration, indicating better network connectivity and stable crosslinks within the gel networks. The enhanced network connectivity (lower exponent n values in PFB6.5 and PFB8.0) could lead to a more hydrogen-bonded complex and thus a more compact structure. Besides, the physical entanglement effect of KGM would increase while increasing KGM concentration (Luo, He, & Lin, 2013), which would provide more stable molecular bonds (primarily hydrogen bonds) within SPI/KGM system.

Overall, with a higher KGM addition level, KGM chains were formed into more significant junctions (with high S values), increasing permanent crosslinks within networks (lower relaxation exponent n) (Solo-de-Zaldívar et al., 2014). PFB with too much KGM addition (8.0%) would form highly packed crosslinks within the gel networks.

### 4. Conclusion

The texture of PFB was significantly affected by KGM addition. The hardness, chewiness, and gel strength of PFB were improved significantly with increasing KGM. At a lower level of KGM (PFB3.5 and PFB5.0), the PFB had more and larger pores within the gel networks, resulting in a loose and weaker gel structure. No significant difference was found in gel strength, hardness, and microstructure between PFB6.5 and IFB.

The rheological behaviors were correlated with texture properties. With increasing KGM levels, PFB had higher elastic modulus $G’_0$ and consistency $K_t$, and lower instantaneous compliance $J_0$, consistent with texture profile analysis results. There was no significant difference in $G’_0$, $n_0$, and $J_0$ between PFB6.5 and IFB. The SEM images of PFBs supported the view that the gel structure became more compact with increasing KGM addition and that PFB6.5 had a matched structure while PFB8.0 had a highly compact structure. Additionally, the levels of α-
helices and β-sheets increased; simultaneously, β-turns and random coils were decreased at higher KGM concentrations, suggesting that KGM increased the elasticity and firmness of PFB. Furthermore, PFB with a higher level of KGM (PFB6.5 and PFB8.0) exhibited a higher helix-to-coil ratio, contributing to hardness, chewiness, and gel strength. In conclusion, these findings indicated that KGM significantly improved the texture and rheological properties of PFB. Therefore, KGM had an excellent application value in developing plant-based seafood analogues as a critical contributor to mimicking the texture of seafood counterparts. By incorporating KGM with plant proteins, more varieties of plant-based seafood products could be developed mimicking the texture of seafood counterparts without using complicated high-pressure extrusion processing.
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